(1) SINGLE BENCH

C.S. 1001

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

P.R. No. 5987/05
Presented by Pawan Kashyap
Dated 18/11/05

WRIT PETITION. NO. 5853 OF 2005

BETWEEN

Narayan Prasad Gabel S/o Late Shri Manohar Lal Gabel , aged about 42 years, occupation service, posted as Rural Agriculture Extension Officer, Office of the Assistant Project Officer Agriculture, Sakti, District Janjgir Champa (CG).

PETITIONER

RE... COPY (V) 18.11. H.C. BILASPUR

AND

1. The State of Chhattisgarh,
   Through the Secretary Department of Agriculture ,
   Chhattisgarh, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Deputy Director, Agriculture, Janjgir Champa , District Janjgir Champa (CG).

3. Director, Agriculture, Chhattisgarh, Raipur (CG).

4. Collector Janjgir Champa (CG).

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

25

मामला क्रमांक WP 5853/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

9-12-2005

Shri Sunil Sahu, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State.
Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that ~~earlier~~ the petitioner has been transferred from Sakti, District Janjgir-Champa to Ambikapur vide order dated 27-10-2005 (Annexure-P/1). He also submits that the petitioner has been transferred during the ban period that too without coordination and prior approval of the Chief Minister. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that for the present ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the respondent authorities to decide the representation of the petitioner.

The prayer is not opposed by the State counsel.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case the petitioner is directed to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that till date the petitioner has not been relieved. He prays for grant of interim relief.

In view of the above, it is directed that if the petitioner is not relieved he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.4649/2005 & I.A.No.9771/2005 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये